## How is my grantmaking amount calculated?

## Spending Policy

To ensure that your endowment fund lasts forever, the principal remains intact. It is invested prudently for growth, and you recommend grants from the income. The combination of the interest, dividends and appreciation you receive is the "total return" for your fund. We have an approved spending policy that determines how much of the fund can be granted out each year. Our spending policy allows 5\% to be spent, but rather than just a straight $5 \%$, it is actually $5 \%$ of a 20 -quarter rolling average of the fund's assets.

## 20-Quarter Rolling Average

Since your fund is invested, the fund balance will rise and fall daily as the investment values change. At the end of each quarter, we look back for 20 quarters ( 5 years) and calculate the average value of our funds to smooth out the ups and downs of the investment markets. This provides you with a relatively equal amount each year for your grantmaking.

## Why This is Important

Having an equivalent amount to grant out each year helps you plan your charitable giving. Without the 20quarter rolling average, you could have large amounts to grant in years with good investment performance, and much less in years with poor performance.

Example: An Endowment Fund started five years ago with a $\$ 100,000$ contribution is shown below.


The Current Fund Value rises and falls with the performance of the investments. In this example, the fund has been as low as $\$ 97,000$ and as high as $\$ 111,000$. The Average Fund Value over the 20 -quarter period is $\$ 105,000$. Each quarter, a calculation takes place to determine how the Current Fund Value compares to the Average Fund Value.

- When Current Fund Value is higher than the average, you will receive less than $5 \%$ annually.
- When Current Fund Value is lower than the average, you will receive more than $5 \%$ annually.
- When Current Fund Value is lower than the initial $\$ 100,000$ contribution, you will receive interest and dividends only. In Quarters 6 and 7, the Current Fund Value was less than the initial contribution so the full calculated amount (spending policy) would not be received. The interest and dividends paid out may be as little as $10 \%$ of the normal amount for grant making.


## Example: Annual Payout

In the example above, the calculated grantmaking amount would vary much more without the use of the 20quarter rolling average. There is only $\$ 15$ difference between the low point and high point with the rolling average approach. That difference would be 30 times higher, or \$451, if we just calculated $5 \%$ each quarter.

| Year | With 20-quarter rolling average | Without 20-quarter rolling average |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $\$ 5251$ | $\$ 5189$ |
| 2 | $\$ 5241$ | $\$ 5015$ |
| 3 | $\$ 5254$ | $\$ 5330$ |
| 4 | $\$ 5256$ | $\$ 5280$ |
| 5 | $\$ 5248$ | $\$ 5466$ |
| Range | $\$ 15$ | $\$ 451$ |

## History of our Spending Policy

Over the past 31 years, the actual annual spending policy payout has ranged from $3.82 \%$ to $6.00 \%$. This allows us to accommodate significant highs and lows in investment performance while keeping grant dollars steady.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Community } \\ \text { Foundation Fiscal } \\ \text { Year End } \end{gathered}$ | Spending Policy Payout for Year | Avg Spending Policy Payout for 5 years | Dow Jones Industrial Average Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3/31/2021 | 4.92\% |  | 32981 |
| 2 | 3/31/2020 | 5.19\% | 4.97\% | 21917 |
| 3 | 3/31/2019 | 5.00\% |  | 25929 |
| 4 | 3/31/2018 | 4.71\% |  | 24103 |
| 5 | 3/31/2017 | 4.97\% |  | 20663 |
| 6 | 3/31/2016 | 4.98\% |  | 17685 |
| 7 | 3/31/2015 | 4.56\% | 4.95\% | 17776 |
| 8 | 3/31/2014 | 4.48\% |  | 16458 |
| 9 | 3/31/2013 | 4.79\% |  | 14579 |
| 10 | 3/31/2012 | 5.28\% |  | 13212 |
| 11 | 3/31/2011 | 5.65\% |  | 12320 |
| 12 | 3/31/2010 | 5.84\% | 5.53\% | 10325 |
| 13 | 3/31/2009 | 6.00\% |  | 7609 |
| 14 | 3/31/2008 | 5.67\% |  | 12262 |
| 15 | 3/31/2007 | 5.50\% |  | 12354 |
| 16 | 3/31/2006 | 4.66\% |  | 11109 |
| 17 | 3/31/2005 | 4.92\% | 5.11\% | 10504 |
| 18 | 3/31/2004 | 5.27\% |  | 10357 |
| 19 | 3/31/2003 | 5.91\% |  | 7992 |
| 20 | 3/31/2002 | 5.01\% |  | 10362 |
| 21 | 3/31/2001 | 4.47\% |  | 9878 |
| 22 | 3/31/2000 | 4.18\% | 4.13\% | 10922 |
| 23 | 3/31/1999 | 4.16\% |  | 9786 |
| 24 | 3/31/1998 | 3.82\% |  | 8799 |
| 25 | 3/31/1997 | 4.24\% |  | 6583 |
| 26 | 3/31/1996 | 4.25\% |  | 5638 |
| 27 | 3/31/1995 | 4.56\% | 4.46\% | 4158 |
| 28 | 3/31/1994 | 4.37\% |  | 3636 |
| 29 | 3/31/1993 | 4.35\% |  | 3435 |
| 30 | 3/31/1992 | 4.30\% |  | 3235 |
| 31 | 3/31/1991 | 4.74\% |  | 2881 |
|  | Average over 31 years |  | 4.86\% |  |

